



Speech Processing Experiences

Ayyoob Jafari

May 2013

Speech Processing Experiences

• Speech Enhancement (MSC Thesis)

- Text to Speech Synthesis (Gooya System) Research Center of Intelligent Signal Processing(RCISP) Until 2007,
- Speech Recognition (PHD Thesis)

Speech Enhancement

• Analyzing Different Speech Enhancement Approaches

• Adaptive Wavelet Based Speech Enhancement algorithm

• Proposing a chaotic silence detection system



- A Persian Rule-based TTS System (Gooya)
- A diphon and phoneme speech database
- A database for grammar rules
- Join Gooya to a screen reader system (Shiva)

Research Center of Intelligent Signal Processing(RCISP) from 2004 to 2007

Contents of Speech Recognition Part

1-Achievements

2- Methods

3- Database and Speech Recognition System

4-Results

 Automatic speech recognition is a speech-totext process which is done on speech data captured by microphones. Considering recent advances in artificial intelligent researches and Man-Machine interactions, speech recognition has showed very important rule in resent researches and different academic and commercial recognition systems were developed. In such systems, recognition is done with limited SUCCESS.

• In this research, with emphasis on feature extraction methods, considering dimension reduction approaches and speech reconstructed phase space, the improvement of the accuracy of speech recognition systems has been studied. Dimension reduction algorithms studied in this research includes two models of continuous hidden variables and manifold learning algorithms. In usage of chaos theory in speech recognition, nonlinear modeling of speech reconstructed is considered.

 The main novel technical contributions of this thesis are as follows. As our first contribution, theoretical foundation and structure of a model is introduced based on non-linear principle component analysis (NLPCA). In this model, introducing an effective algorithm, usual frequency domain features have been transformed to a new subspace. This method improves the accuracy of speech classification about 3.7% for clean speech data and isolated phoneme recognition tests in TIMIT database.

 The second contribution of this research is based on a new dimension reduction approach based on Laplacian Eigenmaps latent variable model for speech recognition. This feature extraction approach has showed very interesting improvement in speech recognition accuracy with about 6% improvement in isolated phoneme recognition tests for clean data from TIMIT database.

 The third contribution of this research is based on introducing a combinational model for frequency domain features and features obtained from non-linear modeling of speech reconstructed phase space. This method improves isolated phoneme recognition accuracy about 3.4% for clean data from TIMIT database. Next main contribution of this research is based on non-linear modeling of speech reconstructed phase space Poincare sections in combination with frequency domain features. Combination of features was done using fisher discrimination analysis. This method improves isolated phoneme recognition accuracy about 5.7% for clean data from TIMIT database.

 The final contribution on this research is based on using phase space theory and Laplacian Eigenmaps. In this proposed method, Poincare sections of speech reconstructed phase space are calculated and then are transformed to a new subspace using Laplacian Eigenmaps method. Modeling is done in this final subspace and obtained features then will be combined with frequency features. This method has showed very interesting performance in robust speech recognition tests. This method improves isolated phoneme recognition accuracy about 5.7% for clean data from TIMIT database.



Continuous Latent Variable Models



Latent space \mathcal{X} of dimension L = 2

Data space T of dimension D = 3

Parameter Estimation Using EM algorithm

Methods

Continuous Latent Variable Models

Model	Prior in latent space $p(\mathbf{x})$	$\begin{array}{c} Mapping \ \mathbf{f} \\ \mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{t} \end{array}$	Noise model $p(\mathbf{t} \mathbf{x})$	Density in observed space p(t)
Factor analysis (FA)	$\mathcal{N}(0,\mathbf{I})$	linear	diagonal normal	constrained Gaussian
Principal component analysis (PCA)	$\mathcal{N}(0,\mathbf{I})$	linear	spherical normal	constrained Gaussian
Independent component analysis (ICA)	unknown but factorised	linear	Dirac delta	depends
Independent factor analysis (IFA)	product of 1D Gaussian mixtures	linear	normal	constrained Gaussian mixture
Generative topographic mapping (GTM)	discrete uniform	generalised linear model	spherical normal	constrained Gaussian mixture

Proposed Approach Using CLVM



Manifold Learning Approaches

- Locally Linear Embedding
- Laplacian Eigenmaps
- Isomaps

Laplacian Eigenmaps Manifold Learning Approach







Proposed LE and Chaotic Subspace



Database and Speech Recognition System

- An English Speech Database (TIMIT) and a Persian Speech Database (Farsdat) are used in experiminets
- TIMIT Database consists of 6300 sentence from 10 speaker with complete test set with 1344 sentence (27% of all dataset) and core test set with 192 sentence. All phonemes categoried to 39 classes.
- Farsdat database consists of 2000 sentences from persian speakers provided by research center of intelligent signal processing (RCISP) in Iran.

Database and Speech Recognition System

- Noisex.92 noise database used for additive noise signals.
- We used HMM toolbox for matlab and HTK toolkit (Cambridge University) for speech recognition engine in our experiments.
- 6 state with 8 Gaussian mixtures used in HMM model and frames

With 25.6 ms are used.

Basic MFCC, Proposed NLPCA, PCA Features COR% For TIMIT Database



Basic MFCC, Proposed NLPCA, LDA, LDA-MLLT For TIMIT Database





Basic MFCC , Proposed NLPCA and Direct kernel obtained features COR% For TIMIT Database



Manifold Learning Optimum Dimension Detection



Manifold Learning



Manifold Learning Algorithms



Phones/LE's	/ aa /(30)	/u/(30)	/s/(30)
λ_{1}	0.051+.012	.103 <u>+</u> .040	032 <u>+</u> .016
λ_2	003 <u>+</u> .006	-0.014 <u>+</u> .05	-0.50+ .016
$\lambda_{_3}$	-0.93±.021	128±.039	-0.76± .021









Poincare Sections



Manifold Learning And Chaotic Analysis



Conclusions

All Methods Results for Clean Speech Signal

